Growth and Yield Responses of Snap Bean to Mixtures of Carbon Dioxide and Ozone

A. S. Heagle,* J. E. Miller, K. O. Burkey, G. Eason, and W. A. Pursley

ABSTRACT

Elevated CO₂ concentrations expected in the 21st century can stimulate plant growth and yield, whereas tropospheric O3 suppresses plant growth and yield in many areas of the world. Recent experiments showed that elevated CO2 often protects plants from O3 stress, but this has not been tested for many important crop species including snap bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). The objective of this study was to determine if elevated CO2 protects snap bean from O3 stress. An O₃-tolerant cultivar (Tenderette) and an O₃-sensitive selection (S156) were exposed from shortly after emergence to maturity to mixtures of CO2 and O3 in open-top field chambers. The two CO2 treatments were ambient and ambient with CO2 added for 24 h d-1 resulting in seasonal 12 h d⁻¹ (0800-2000 h EST) mean concentrations of 366 and 697 µL L-1, respectively. The two O3 treatments were charcoal-filtered air and nonfiltered air with O3 added for 12 h d-1 to achieve seasonal 12 h d⁻¹ (0800-2000 h EST) mean concentrations of 23 and 72 nL L-1, respectively. Elevated CO2 significantly stimulated growth and pod weight of Tenderette and S156, whereas elevated O3 significantly suppressed growth and pod weight of S156 but not of Tenderette. The suppressive effect of elevated O₃ on pod dry weight of S156 was approximately 75% at ambient CO2 and approximately 60% at elevated CO2 (harvests combined). This amount of protection from O₃ stress afforded by elevated CO₂ was much less than reported for other crop species. Extreme sensitivity to O3 may be the reason elevated CO₂ failed to significantly protect S156 from O₃ stress.

ARBON DIOXIDE (CO₂) concentrations in the troposphere are expected to continue rising to levels that significantly increase plant growth and yield (Allen, 1990; Cure and Acock, 1986; Kimball et al., 1993; Watson et al., 1990). Conversely, ozone (O₃) concentrations in the troposphere are high enough to suppress plant growth and yield in many areas of the world (Heck et al., 1984; USEPA, 1996).

Because O₃ and CO₂ cause opposite plant responses, numerous studies considering effects of O₃–CO₂ mixtures have been performed over the past 10 yr. Most of these studies revealed that the apparent stimulation caused by CO₂ enrichment is much greater when O₃ concentrations are also high (Barnes and Pfirrmann, 1992; Heagle et al., 1993, 1998, 1999b; Idso and Idso, 1994; Miller et al., 1998; Mortensen, 1992; Mulchi et al., 1992; Rao et al., 1995; Reinert et al., 1998). Apparently,

A.S. Heagle, J.E. Miller, and K.O. Burkey, USDA-ARS Air Quality-Plant Growth and Development Research Unit, 3908 Inwood Road, Raleigh, NC 27603. A.S. Heagle and G. Eason, Dep. of Plant Pathology, J.E. Miller, K.O. Burkey, and W.A. Pursley, Dep. of Crop Science, North Carolina State Univ., Raleigh, NC 27695. Cooperative investigations of the USDA-ARS Air Quality Research Unit and the North Carolina State University. Funded in part by the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service. The use of trade names in this publication does not imply endorsement by the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service or the USDA of the products named, nor criticism of similar ones not mentioned. Received 17 Jan. 2002. *Corresponding author (asheagle@unity.ncsu.edu).

Published in J. Environ. Qual. 31:2008-2014 (2002).

CO₂ protects plants from O₃ stress, causing steeper CO₂ response curves for plants exposed to stressful O₃ levels than for plants exposed to lower O₃ levels. Moreover, the level of the interaction seems to be dictated by the relative amount of O₃ stress and CO₂ enrichment. At a given O₃ level, O₃—sensitive plants may be more responsive to CO₂ enrichment than O₃—tolerant plants.

Bean is more sensitive to O₃ than many other plant species. Ozone can injure leaves and suppress yield of some popular bean cultivars (Heggestad et al., 1980; Schenone et al., 1992), although some are very tolerant (Meiners and Heggestad, 1979; Davis and Kress, 1974; Tonneijck, 1983). Carbon dioxide enrichment increased net carbon assimilation rate and growth, and decreased stomatal conductance of snap bean (Mjwara et al., 1996; Radoglou and Jarvis, 1992; Radoglou et al., 1992; Tognoni et al., 1967). However, effects of CO₂ enrichment on pod weight of snap bean have not been reported. Although seasonal exposure to O₃–CO₂ mixtures usually shows that CO₂ enrichment protects plants from O₃ stress, an exception was shown for snap bean in a shortterm experiment (Heck and Dunning, 1967). Carbon dioxide at approximately 850 µL L⁻¹ for 90 min before and during a 30-min exposure to 300 nL L^{-1} of O_3 resulted in significant protection of tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L. 'Bel-W3') but not 'Pinto' snap bean from foliar injury (Heck and Dunning, 1967). Effects of longterm seasonal exposure to CO₂ enrichment or to mixtures of O₃ and CO₂ on foliar injury, growth, and pod weight of snap bean have not been reported.

Because plant species and cultivars vary in response to elevated O_3 and CO_2 singly, research to measure interactive effects of O_3 and CO_2 is needed with additional species. In this study, effects of season-long exposure to mixtures of O_3 and CO_2 were examined for an O_3 -tolerant cultivar and an O_3 -sensitive selection of snap bean in open-top field chambers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Culture

The experiment was performed with snap bean at our field site 5 km south of Raleigh, NC. A commercial snap bean cultivar (Tenderette) and a snap bean selection (S156) derived from a cross between the O₃-sensitive cultivar (Oregon 91) and the O₃-tolerant cultivar (Wade Bush) (Reinert and Eason, 2000) were used. Both of these cultigens (Cg) exhibit determinate growth. Tenderette is very resistant to foliar injury caused by O₃ (Meiners and Heggestad, 1979), whereas S156 is very sensitive (Burkey and Eason, 2002). Seeds were planted 4 cm apart in pots containing 20 L of Metro-Mix 200 and 45 g of Osmocote (14–14–14, N–P–K) slow release fertilizer (Scotts-

Abbreviations: CF, open-top field chamber receiving charcoal-filtered air; Cg, cultigen, cultivar, or selection of snap bean; NCER, net carbon exchange rate; OZ, open-top field chamber receiving nonfiltered air with O₃ added for 12 h d⁻¹; SC, stomatal conductance.

Table 1. Meteorological conditions and ozone and carbon dioxide concentrations during studies to determine snap bean response to mixtures of carbon dioxide and ozone.

	23-31 May	1-30 June	1-11 July	12-31 July	1-31 July	1-7 August	1-20 August	Seasonal means
Mean temperature, °C	21	25	26	24	25	25	25	24
Mean relative humidity, %	69	70	67	78	74	81	75	73
Mean total PAR†, mol m2 d-1	43	49	50	40	43	44	47	46
Rainfall, mm	4	168	14	7 9	93	76	101	366 (total)
Ozone, nL L ⁻¹ ‡								
Ambient air	44	56	59	49	53	38	50	53
CF§	21	25	23	20	21	15	21	23
OZ	65¶	73	87	7 6	80	47	68	72
Carbon dioxide, µL L ⁻¹ ‡								
Ambient	367	369	359	363	361	366	366	366
Approximately double ambient	642	685	718	713	715	709	712	697

[†] Photosynthetically active radiation.

Sierra Horticultural Products Co., Marysville, OH). Seeds were planted on 15 May and seedlings emerged on 22 May. They were thinned to two per pot on 25 May and to one per pot on 31 May. Plants were irrigated with drip tubes as needed to prevent visible symptoms of water stress. Pot temperatures were moderated with an insulating cylinder composed of 0.6cm-thick bubble wrap coated on both sides with aluminum (Reflectix [Markleville, IN] TM) fit tightly around each pot. This method of temperature moderation has proven more effective than grain straw as a mulch (Heagle et al., 1999a). Thrips were controlled with acephate (Orthene 75S at 3.9 mL L⁻¹; Valent USA Corporation, Walnut Creek, CA) on 26 May and 6 June. Twospotted spider mites were controlled with bifenthrin (Talstar F at 5.2 mL L⁻¹; FMC, Philadelphia, PA) and abamectin (Avid 0.15 EC at 0.3 mL L⁻¹; Merck & Co., Rahway, NJ) on 22 July.

Treatments

Plants were exposed to O_3 and CO_2 in open-top field chambers, 3 m in diameter \times 2.4 m tall (Heagle et al., 1973). The treatment design was a factorial with two O_3 and two CO_2 treatments and two snap-bean cultigens. The whole plot (chamber) treatments were the $O_3 \times CO_2$ combinations arranged in a randomized complete block design with four blocks in 16 chambers. The O_3 treatments were charcoal-filtered (CF) air and nonfiltered air with O_3 added proportionally to the ambient O_3 concentration (OZ). The CO_2 treatments were ambient and approximately double ambient. The two cultigens (Tenderette and S156) were the subplots. Plants were placed in a 2 \times 2 Latin square arrangement in each of the

four chamber quadrants with the convention that two pots of a given cultigen could not be adjacent within a given row or column.

General dispensing and monitoring protocols have been described for O₃ (Heagle et al., 1979) and for CO₂ (Rogers et al., 1983). Carbon dioxide enrichment began on 23 May and O₃ exposures began on 30 May. Exposures continued through 23 August. Ozone was dispensed for 12 h d⁻¹ (0800-2000 h EST) and CO₂ for 24 h d⁻¹. Both gases were monitored for 24 h d⁻¹ at canopy height in the center of each chamber. Ozone was monitored with UV analyzers (TECO Model 49; Thermo Environmental Instruments, Franklin, MA) calibrated biweekly with a TECO Model 49 PS calibrator. Carbon dioxide was monitored with infrared analyzers (LI 6252; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE) calibrated biweekly with pressurized tank CO₂ over the range of concentrations used in these experiments. Mean concentrations of O₃ and CO₂ and meteorological conditions during the experiment are shown in Table 1. The seasonal mean $1\bar{2}$ h d^{-1} \bar{O}_3 concentration in the CF treatment was 23 nL L^{-1} (0.43 times ambient), and in the OZ treatment was 72 nL L⁻¹ (1.36 times ambient) (Table 1). The seasonal mean 12 h d^{-1} CO₂ concentrations were 366 μL L^{-1} (ambient) and 697 μ L L⁻¹ for the elevated CO₂ treatment.

Measurements

Foliar net carbon exchange rates (NCER) and stomatal conductance (SC) were measured with a portable photosynthesis system (LI-6200; LI-COR). Measures were made at 28, 29, 39, and 43 d after planting (DAP) between 1030 and 1330 h EST at chamber conditions of relative humidity, temperature,

Table 2. Net carbon exchange rate and stomatal conductance of two snap bean cultigens on four days during exposure to mixtures of ozone and carbon dioxide.

	Carbon	Ozone†		Net carbon e	xchange rate‡			Stomatal co	onductance‡	
Cultigen	dioxide†		28 DAP	29 DAP	39 DAP	43 DAP	28 DAP	29 DAP	39 DAP	43 DAP
	μL L-1	nL L ⁻¹		—— μmol :	m ⁻² s ⁻¹			mol n	n ⁻² s ⁻¹	
S156	366	23	21.5 (1.0)	23.1 (0.7)	16.4 (4.0)	16.4 (1.8)	1.53 (0.20)	1.96 (0.12)	0.54 (0.27)	0.53 (0.11)
		72	21.4 (2.2)	21.6 (1.0)	11.0 (3.7)	6.8 (1.6)	1.17 (0.07)	1.28 (0.15)	0.77 (0.33)	0.42 (0.06)
	697	23	32.3 (0.0)	37.2 (0.4)	31.7 (2.5)	26.1 (1.5)	1.15 (0.02)	1.38 (0.12)	0.51 (0.01)	0.59 (0.05)
		72	31.9 (1.7)	35.1 (2.2)	27.0 (4.5)	24.2 (1.0)	1.00 (0.08)	1.36 (0.09)	0.60 (0.27)	0.52 (0.06)
Tenderette	366	23	23.5 (0.4)	23.2 (0.5)	12.3 (5.4)	11.5 (3.6)	1.83 (0.03)	1.90 (0.23)	0.44 (0.28)	0.46 (0.23)
		72	21.9 (2.2)	23.8 (0.2)	11.3 (0.8)	13.7 (2.7)	1.32 (0.04)	1.74 (0.04)	0.25 (0.05)	0.53 (0.16)
	697	23	37.9 (0.1)	37.4 (0.2)	19.7 (2.8)	23.1 (1.4)	1.27 (0.56)	1.14 (0.23)	0.20 (0.05)	0.45 (0.10)
		72	34.4 (1.0)	35.4 (2.4)	29.9 (2.5)	21.5 (2.5)	1.11 (0.05)	1.56 (0.20)	0.50 (0.12)	0.36 (0.01)

[†] Ozone was added to the 72 nL L⁻¹ chambers for 12 h d⁻¹ (0800-2000 h EST). Carbon dioxide was added to 697 µL L⁻¹ chambers for 24 h d⁻¹. Ozone and carbon dioxide concentrations are seasonal 12 h d⁻¹ (0800-2000 h EST) means.

[‡] Ozone was added to the open-top field chambers receiving nonfiltered air with O₃ added for 12 h d⁻¹ (0800-2000 h EST) (OZ). Carbon dioxide was added to double-ambient chambers for 24 h d⁻¹. Ozone and carbon dioxide concentrations are 12 h d⁻¹ (0800-2000 h EST) means.

[§] Open-top field chamber receiving charcoal-filtered air.

[¶] Ozone concentrations for 30-31 May.

DAP, days after planting. Each value is the mean (standard error) for six leaves (one leaf on each of two plants in each of three replicate chambers) at 29 and 43 DAP and four leaves (one leaf on each of two plants in each of two replicate chambers) at 28 and 39 DAP.

Table 3. Vegetative and reproductive responses of \$156 and Tenderette snap bean plants to mixtures of ozone and carbon dioxide measured at the midseason harvest.

				Voca	om onitor	000				Reproductiv	Reproductive measures	Z.A.				
				So A	vegetative ineasu	asures			Ten - 1		1	£	ا ا	Total		
	Conhon		Folior	Loof	Loof	Ctom	Poot		rillea poas		#	immarure pods	2	choof we	Doot wet /	Pod dry wet /
Cultigen	dioxide†	Ozone†	injury	area	dry wt.	dry wt.	dry wt.	Number	Fresh wt. Dry wt.	Dry wt.	Number	Fresh wt.	Dry wt.	(leaf, stem, pod)	shoot wt.	stem dry wt.
	1-1 1	1-1 1"	70	01112		0										1-1
	1 11	1	9	3		a			0.0							
S156	366	23	21	5 320	17.1	25.6	8.7	28	227.1	33.5	21	8.2	8.0	27.6	0.12	1.46
		72	8	1 682	5.5	16.9	3.9	21	9.09	7.7	33	7.6	1.0	31.6	0.12	0.60
	697	23	4	7 136	25.8	41.5	14.5	7	334.1	41.6	31	10.2	1.1	110.6	0.13	1.09
		72	82	3 795	14.0	30.2	8.0	34	122.2	13.8	39	10.9	1.1	60.1	0.13	0.54
Tenderette	366	23	14	10 173	39.1	45.7	20.0	42	165.6	16.6	9	11.4	1.3	103.1	0.20	0.38
		72	16	9 757	35.0	44.9	21.2	34	126.6	13.0	41	12.4	1.4	94.6	0.23	0.30
	697	23	33	12 406	70.0	66.2	29.2	78	102.9	6.6	47	10.7	1.3	148.0	0.21	0.16
		22	30	11 145	63.2	69.4	25.5	42	159.3	15.7	4	11.0	1.4	150.5	0.18	0.22
† Ozone and carbon dioxide concentrations shown are seasonal 12 each of four chambers).	carbon dio	xide conce	entrations	shown ar	e seasonal	12 h d ⁻¹ ı	means. Cor	ncentration	s for specific	periods ar	e shown in	Table 1. Ea	ch response	h d-1 means. Concentrations for specific periods are shown in Table 1. Each response value is the mean of 16 plants (four plants in	of 16 plants	(four plants in

 ${\rm CO_2}$ concentrations, and ${\rm O_3}$ concentrations when PAR was greater than 1000 μ mol m² s⁻¹. At each date, one fully expanded upper-canopy leaf (usually the second youngest) from each of two plants per cultigen was sampled for each mixture treatment in each of two plots on 28 and 39 DAP and in each of three plots on 29 and 43 DAP.

At 57 DAP, foliar injury (chlorosis and necrosis) of the upper canopy was estimated in 5% increments (0–100%) on four plants of each cultigen in all plots. Beginning at 57 DAP, plants in the south half of each chamber were harvested on four consecutive days. Plants were cut at the stem base and separated into stems, leaves, filled pods (pods with obvious seed expansion), and immature pods (tiny pods with no obvious seed expansion). Leaf areas were measured with a LI-3100 area meter (LI-COR). Numbers and fresh weights of filled and immature pods were recorded and roots were washed. Stems, leaves, pods, and roots were dried to constant weight at 55°C and weighed.

The remaining eight plants per plot were harvested when most pods were brown and growth was judged to be minimal. The S156 matured sooner than Tenderette, and leaves and pods of S156 plants in the OZ plots turned brown sooner than S156 plants in CF plots. Therefore, S156 plants were harvested between 84 and 86 DAP in the OZ plots and at 98 DAP in the CF plots. Tenderette plants in all plots were harvested between 98 and 101 DAP. Filled and immature pods were counted. Pods and stems were dried to constant weight at 55°C and weighed.

Statistical Analyses

Data were analyzed with the plot (chamber) mean for each cultigen by treatment combination from each block. Because of the large cultigen difference in sensitivity to O_3 and exposure duration, data were analyzed for each cultigen separately and for the cultigens combined. Residual plots were examined for nonnormality, outliers, and heterogeneous variances. All variables were analyzed without transformation except for leaf dry weight, which was analyzed with the square-root transformation.

RESULTS

Net Carbon Exchange Rate and Conductance

Elevated CO₂ increased net carbon exchange rate (NCER) and generally suppressed stomatal conductance (SC) of both cultigens (Table 2). Effects of O₃ on NCER and SC varied with the CO₂ treatment and was different for the two cultigens. On the last two measurement days, O₃ suppressed NCER of S156 in ambient CO₂, but less O₃ effect was noted with plants at elevated CO₂. Little effect of O₃ on NCER was noted for Tenderette. Effects of O₃ on SC for both cultigens were variable across measurement dates at both CO₂ treatment concentrations.

Midseason Harvest

Symptoms of O₃ injury included chlorosis, bronzing, and early senescence of middle-aged and older leaves, whereas the prominent symptom at elevated CO₂ was chlorosis of newly expanded canopy leaves. Ozone caused severe foliar injury of S156 but not of Tenderette, and elevated CO₂ significantly injured both cultigens (Tables 3 and 4). For S156, elevated CO₂ caused chloro-

Table 4. Mean squares and significance levels from combined analyses of variance for growth and yield responses measured at midseason and final harvest of two snap bean cultigens (Cg) exposed to mixtures of ozone and carbon dioxide.

cungens	cuirigens (Cg) exposed to mixtures of ozone and carbon	xtures	of ozone and car	bon dioxide.		-				
Harvest	Source	đf	Foliar injury	Leaf area $ imes$ 10^{-5}	Leaf dry wt.†	Stem dry wt.	Root dry wt.	Total shoot wt. (leaf, stem, pod)	Root/shoot dry wt. $ imes 10^4$	Pod/stem dry wt. × 100
Midseason	block O ₃	e 4	77.0 5 031.3**	2.5 374.6**	0.1	46.6 154.0	9.6 9.6	262.2 5 244.4**	12.1	0.3 101.3**
	CO, CO, CO,	, .	1361.8**	284.9**	20.9**	2 757.6**	275.7**	13 176.9**	1.5	27.1**
	Error a	4 6	7.96	13.8	0.5	36.0	7.5	226.0	7.0	1.7
	ప	. T	11 109.8**	3 263.7**	**0**	6 276.6**	1 860.2**	23 392.3**	476.9**	345.7**
	Č Š	Η,	5 157.5**	140.5**	*****	249.6	38.6*	4 091.0**	0.2	**9.76
	Cg × CO;		82.1	0.5	1.7**	127.9	4.5	777.8	23.5 10.3	1.0
	Error b	7.7	19.2	13.6	0.2	60.5	5.2	274.3	6.9	17
				Filled pods			Immature pods			
Midseason			Number	Fresh wt.	Dry wt.	Number	Fresh wt.	Dry wt. $ imes$ 100		
	block	က	30.3	1 108.8	38.5	41.5	9.3	11.3		
	O ³	=	2 728.8**	65 140.2**	1321.7**	170.0	0.0	10.4		
	°03	~ -	357.8	9 597.2	51.9	323.5	4.6	8.6		
	Error a	4 6	147.4	2.762.3	38.6	100.8	14.6	17.8		
	ő		*0.626	17 961.0*	859.4**	1 185.2**	21.2	93.0*		
	Cg×O³	1	3 795.4**	78 370.9**	1551.4**	232.5	9.0	0.0		
	o O	Η,	693.8	19 702.4**	163.2*	18.4	14.0	4.0		
	Cg × Og × CO, Errer b	12	431.4	2 350.4	04.5 26.4	0.1 145.0	9.7	0.3 13.4		
		}) 		į		
Final			rinea poas number	numature pous number	All pous dry wt.	Stem dry wt.	roa wt.			
	block	60 1	150	106	257	118.8	0.3			
	ő	- -	5 513** 4 198**	1219**	10 945**	1 766.0** 3 090.0**	5.6**			
	03 × CO2	Ψ.	इ	l no	158	83.2	. .			
	Error a	o +	122	æ æ	181	118.0	0.2 2.5**			
	ٽ ٽ	-	9 783**	£ 51	7 584**	0.4 0.4	10.5**			
	$\overrightarrow{c_g} \times \overrightarrow{co}_2$	-	713	19	62	972.0**	1.0**			
	$\mathbf{Cg} imes \mathbf{O_3} imes \mathbf{CO_2}$ Error b	T 23	198 169	16	127 190	43.3 118.1	0.7**			
	100									

^{*} Significant at the 0.05 probability level. ** Significant at the 0.01 probability level. † Leaf dry weight data were transformed by square root before statistical analysis.

sis in the CF but not in the OZ treatments, and O_3 caused more injury at ambient than at double-ambient CO_2 . Either of these differences may have caused the significant $O_3 \times CO_2$ interaction for S156 (Table 4).

For S156, O_3 significantly suppressed all vegetative growth and filled pod measures at both CO_2 levels. For example, compared with the CF treatment, the OZ treatment suppressed S156 filled pod fresh weight by 73% in ambient CO_2 and by 63% in elevated CO_2 . For Tenderette, however, O_3 did not significantly affect any measured growth or reproductive component (Table 3) and the $Cg \times O_3$ interaction was significant for most response measures (Table 4).

Carbon dioxide enrichment significantly increased vegetative growth of both cultigens and these effects were generally independent of the O3 treatment (Tables 3 and 4). For the O₃ treatments combined, total shoot weight (leaves, stems, and pods) of S156 and Tenderette was 56 and 51% greater, respectively, at elevated than at ambient CO₂ (Table 3). Carbon dioxide enrichment also increased the number and weight of filled pods of S156, but an opposite trend occurred for Tenderette in the CF chambers (Table 3) so that the $Cg \times CO_2$ effect was significant for filled pod weight (Table 4). For example, in CF air, filled pod dry weight of Tenderette was 40% less in elevated than in ambient CO₂. This trend for lower filled pod weight at elevated than at ambient CO₂ did not occur for Tenderette in the OZ chambers (Table 3).

Ratios of pod weight to stem weight were larger for S156 than for Tenderette in all treatments (Table 3). Elevated CO_2 significantly decreased the ratio of pod weight to stem weight for both cultigens (Table 3). Elevated O_3 decreased pod weight to stem weight for S156 but not for Tenderette, and the $Cg \times O_3$ interaction was significant (Table 4).

The ratios of root to shoot weight responses of the cultigens were significantly different (Tables 3 and 4). For S156, ratios of root to shoot weight were not affected by O_3 or CO_2 (Table 3). However, for Tenderette, the

ratio of root to shoot weight was higher in OZ than in CF at ambient CO_2 , but was lower in OZ than in CF at elevated CO_2 , and the $O_3 \times CO_2$ interaction was significant for Tenderette (Table 3). The high Tenderette root to shoot ratio in OZ + ambient CO_2 was probably related to the comparatively low filled pod weight in that treatment (Table 3).

Final Harvest

Ozone significantly suppressed filled pod number and pod weight of S156 but not of Tenderette (Table 5), resulting in a significant $Cg \times O_3$ interaction for these variables (Table 4). Elevated CO₂ generally increased pod number, pod weight, and stem weight of both cultigens (Tables 4 and 5). The CO₂ effect was significant for these measures in the analysis for the cultigens combined (Table 4) and significant or nearly so for all measures except number of immature pods in the analysis for the cultigens separately (Table 5). The $Cg \times O_3$, $Cg \times CO_2$, and $Cg \times O_3 \times CO_2$ interactions were significant for the ratio of pod weight to stem weight (Table 4). Ozone dramatically decreased the ratio of pod weight to stem weight for S156 but increased the ratio for Tenderette (Table 5). Elevated CO₂ decreased the ratio of pod weight to stem weight of Tenderette but not of S156. For S156 in the OZ treatment, the ratio of pod weight to stem weight was higher at elevated than at ambient CO₂ (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The number and weight of filled pods generally increased between the midseason and final harvest, except for S156 at ambient CO_2 and high O_3 . In this treatment, S156 was severely stressed by O_3 with estimated visible injury at 90% at the midseason harvest. This high level of stress apparently caused abscission of immature pods and no increase in number and weight of filled pods between the midseason and final harvest. The decline

Table 5. Growth and reproductive responses of S156 and Tenderette snap bean to mixtures of ozone and carbon dioxide at final harvest.†

			Numbe	er per plant	Dry weight	per plant	
Cultigen	Carbon dioxide‡	Ozone‡	Filled pods	Immature pods	All pods	Stems	Pod wt./stem wt.
	μL L ⁻¹	nL L ⁻¹			g		
S156	366	23	79	15	75.7	22.7	3.47
		72	20	0	7 . 5	7.2	1.05
	697	23	114	12	94.0	30.5	3.17
		72	51	0	26.7	16.7	1.62
Tenderette	366	23	77	12	95.0	58.6	1.70
		72	78	1	80.4	38.0	2.19
	697	23	83	16	99.8	83.7	1.31
		72	99	5	102.0	74.2	1.45
	Source	df		Probability of a val	ue > F from and	lysis of varian	ce
S156	block	3	0.84	0.30	0.59	0.08	0.40
	O_3	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	$^{1}CO_{2}$	1	0.00	0.63	0.02	0.00	0.51
	$O_3 \times CO_2$	1	0.72	0.63	0.94	0.65	0.05
Tenderette	block	3	0.16	0.10	0.07	0.79	0.12
	O ₃	1	0.18	0.00	0.33	0.09	0.04
	$\widetilde{\mathbf{CO}_2}$	1	0.05	0.11	0.06	0.00	0.00
	$\mathbf{O_3 \times CO_2}$	1	0.23	0.99	0.20	0.50	0.21

[†] Each response value is the mean of 16 plants (four plants in each of four chambers).

[‡] Ozone and carbon dioxide concentrations shown are seasonal 12 h d⁻¹ means. Concentrations for specific periods are shown in Table 1.

in stem weight between the midseason and final harvest, especially for S156 at high O_3 , can be explained by translocation of assimilate and respiration, which usually accompanies plant senescence.

Elevated CO₂ was much less protective against O₃ stress in the highly O₃-sensitive snap bean cultigen S156 than for any of the other crops studied. For example, in one year with soybean, O₃ yield suppression was 37% at ambient CO₂, but was negligible at double-ambient CO₂ (Heagle et al., 1998). In a second season, O₃ decreased soybean yield by 40% at ambient CO₂ and by 16% at double-ambient CO₂ (Heagle et al., 1998). Similar results were found with cotton (Heagle et al., 1999b) and with an O₃-sensitive cultivar of wheat (Heagle et al., 2000). In the present study, however, doubled CO₂ provided very little protection against severe O₃ suppression of pod yield for \$156, even though exposure to elevated CO₂ alone stimulated pod yield by 24%. For Tenderette, however, doubled CO₂ completely prevented the already less severe pod yield suppression (15%) due to O₃. It appears that the extreme sensitivity to O₃ in S156 overwhelmed what protection elevated CO₂ might have provided.

The present results do not adequately show whether differences in effects of elevated CO₂ on stomatal conductance account for differences in the protective effects of elevated CO₂ among species. The maximum decrease in stomatal conductance of S156 caused by elevated CO₂ was approximately 30%, whereas elevated CO₂ decreased stomatal conductance of soybean by approximately 40% (J.E. Miller, personal communication, 2001). Further research is needed to determine the degree to which differences in CO₂ effects on stomatal conductance are related to differential levels of CO₂ protection from O₃ stress.

Our results confirmed the difference in O₃ sensitivity of the two cultigens. Under the exposure conditions employed, elevated O₃ suppressed the bean yield of sensitive S156 by 80 to 90%, but did not significantly affect the yield of tolerant Tenderette. The basis for this difference in O₃ response does not appear to involve O₃ exclusion. A cultigen comparison of midday SC for each date × treatment combination (Table 2) revealed very few cases where S156 and Tenderette were different, and where differences were observed there was no trend to suggest that O₃ uptake was greater in S156. Overall, cultigen differences in SC were small compared with the large difference in O₃ effect on yield. Studies are planned to determine whether a subtle difference in leaf gas exchange (e.g., diurnal pattern, stomata response to environmental factors) might explain the observed differences in O₃ sensitivity. Differences in cultigen detoxification of O₃ in the leaf interior may explain the differential sensitivity. This hypothesis is supported by recent observations that extracellular ascorbic acid content is significantly higher in tolerant Tenderette than in sensitive S156 (Burkey and Eason, 2002).

Prior to the present study, experimental evidence strongly suggested that elevated CO₂ protects O₃-sensitive plants from O₃ stress. Such protection generally resulted in greater growth and yield enhancement for

 O_3 -sensitive than for O_3 -tolerant plants. The present study shows that protection from O_3 stress is not necessarily controlled by relative sensitivity to O_3 , by the O_3 concentration, or by relative response to CO_2 enrichment. The degree of $O_3 \times CO_2$ interaction for a given species or cultivar cannot be predicted from response to the individual gases. These results emphasize the need to understand interactive effects between O_3 and CO_2 on yield of major food crops to improve estimates of crop yield at CO_2 concentrations expected in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Bob Philbeck, Fred Mowry, and Jeff Barton for dispensing and monitoring support and Bianca Bradford, Julie Clingerman, Josh Collins, James Jackson, Robin Randall, Aminah Thompson, and Renee Tucker for technical support and Barbara Shew and Steve Shafer for manuscript review.

REFERENCES

Allen, L.H. 1990. Plant responses to rising carbon dioxide and potential interactions with air pollutants. J. Environ. Qual. 19:15–34.

Barnes, J.D., and T. Pfirrmann. 1992. The influence of CO₂ and O₃, singly and in combination, on gas exchange, growth and nutrient status of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). New Phytol. 121:403–412.

Burkey, K.O., and G. Eason. 2002. Ozone tolerance in snap bean is associated with elevated ascorbic acid in the leaf apoplast. Physiol. Plant. 114:387–394.

Cure, J.D., and B. Acock. 1986. Crop responses to carbon dioxide doubling: A literature survey. Agric. For. Meteorol. 38:127–145.

Davis, D.D., and L. Kress. 1974. The relative susceptibility of ten bean varieties to ozone. Plant Dis. Rep. 58:14–16.

Heagle, A.S., D.E. Body, and W.W. Heck. 1973. An open-top field chamber to assess the impact of air pollution on plants. J. Environ. Qual. 2:365–368.

Heagle, A.S., F.L. Booker, J.E. Miller, E.L. Fiscus, W.A. Pursley, and L.A. Stefanski. 1999a. Influence of daily carbon dioxide exposure duration and root environment on soybean response to elevated carbon dioxide. J. Environ. Qual. 28:666-675.

Heagle, A.S., J.E. Miller, F.L. Booker, and W.A. Pursley. 1999b. Ozone stress, carbon dioxide enrichment, and nitrogen fertility interactions in cotton. Crop Sci. 39:731–741.

Heagle, A.S., J.E. Miller, and W.A. Pursley. 2000. Effects of ozone and carbon dioxide interactions on growth and yield of winter wheat. Crop Sci. 40:1656–1664.

Heagle, A.S., J.E. Miller, W.A. Pursley, and F.L. Booker. 1998. Influence of ozone stress on soybean response to carbon dioxide enrichment: III. Yield and seed quality. Crop Sci. 38:128–134.

Heagle, A.S., J.E. Miller, D.E. Sherrill, and J.O. Rawlings. 1993. Effects of ozone and carbon dioxide mixtures on two clones of white clover. New Phytol. 123:751–762.

Heagle, A.S., R.B. Philbeck, H.H. Rogers, and M.B. Letchworth. 1979. Dispensing and monitoring O_3 in open-top field chambers for plant effects studies. Phytopathology 69:15–20.

Heck, W.W., W.W. Cure, J.O. Rawlings, L.J. Zaragoza, A.S. Heagle,
H.E. Heggestad, R.J. Kohut, L.W. Kress, and P.J. Temple. 1984.
Assessing impacts of ozone on agricultural crops: I. Overview. J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc. 34:729-735.

Heck, W.W., and J.A. Dunning. 1967. The effects of ozone on tobacco and pinto bean as conditioned by several ecological factors. J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc. 17:112–114.

Heggestad, H.E., A.S. Heagle, J.H. Bennett, and E.J. Koch. 1980. The effects of photochemical oxidants on the yield of snap beans. Atmos. Environ. 14:317–326.

Idso, K.E., and S.B. Idso. 1994. Plant responses to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment in the face of environmental constraints: A review of the past 10 years research. Agric. For. Meteorol. 69:153–203.

Kimball, B.A., J.R. Mauney, F.S. Nakayama, and S.B. Idso. 1993. Effects of increasing atmospheric CO₂ on vegetation. Vegetatio 104:65–75.

Meiners, J.P., and H.E. Heggestad. 1979. Evaluation of snap bean

- cultivars for resistance to ambient oxidants in field plots and to ozone in chambers. Plant Dis. Rep. 63:273-277.
- Miller, J.E., A.S. Heagle, and W.A. Pursley. 1998. Influence of ozone stress on soybean response to carbon dioxide enrichment: II. Biomass and development. Crop Sci. 38:122–128.
- Mjwara, J.M., C.E.J. Botha, and S.E. Radloff. 1996. Photosynthesis, growth and nutrient changes in non-nodulated *Phaseolus vulgaris* grown under atmospheric and elevated carbon dioxide conditions. Physiol. Plant. 97:754–763.
- Mortensen, L.M. 1992. Effects of ozone concentration on growth of tomato at various light, air humidity and carbon dioxide levels. Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam) 49:17–24.
- Mulchi, C.L., L. Slaughter, M. Saleem, E.H. Lee, R. Pausch, and R. Rowland. 1992. Growth and physiological characteristics of soybean in open-top chambers in response to ozone and increased atmospheric CO₂. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 38:107–118.
- Radoglou, K.M., P. Aphalo, and P.G. Jarvis. 1992. Response of photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and water use efficiency to elevated CO₂ and nutrient supply in acclimated seedlings of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Ann. Bot. (London) 70:257–264.
- Radoglou, K.M., and P.G. Jarvis. 1992. Effects of CO₂ enrichment and nutrient supply on growth and leaf anatomy of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. seedlings. Ann. Bot. (London) 70:245–256.
- Rao, M.V., B.A. Hale, and D.P. Ormrod. 1995. Amelioration of ozoneinduced oxidative damage in wheat plants grown under high carbon dioxide. Plant Physiol. 109:421–432.

- Reinert, R.A., and G. Eason. 2000. Genetic control of O₃ sensitivity in a cross between two cultivars of snap bean. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 125:222–227.
- Reinert, R.A., G. Palmer, and J. Barton. 1998. Growth and fruiting of tomato as influenced by elevated carbon dioxide and ozone. New Phytol. 137:411–420.
- Rogers, H.H., W.W. Heck, and A.S. Heagle. 1983. A field technique for the study of plant responses to elevated carbon dioxide concentrations. J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc. 33:42–44.
- Schenone, G., G. Botteschi, I. Fumigalli, and F. Montinaro. 1992. Effects of ambient air pollution in open-top chambers on bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). 1. Effects on growth and yield. New Phytol. 122:689–697.
- Tognoni, F., A.H. Halevy, and S.H. Wittwer. 1967. Growth of bean and tomato plants as affected by root absorbed growth substances and atmospheric carbon dioxide. Planta 72:43–52.
- Tonneijck, A.E.G. 1983. Foliar injury response of 24 bean cultivars (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) to various concentrations of ozone. Neth. J. Plant Pathol. 89:99–104.
- USEPA. 1996. Air quality criteria for ozone and related photochemical oxidants. EPA/600-90/004bF. Natl. Center for Environ. Assessment, Office of Res. and Development, Research Triangle Park, NC
- Watson, R.T., H. Rodhe, H. Oeschegerm, and U. Siegenthaler. 1990.
 Greenhouse gases and aerosols. p. 1–44. In T.J. Houghton, G.J.
 Jenkins, J.J. Ephraums (ed.) Climate change. The IPCC scientific assessment. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.



COPYRIGHT INFORMATION

TITLE: Growth and Yield Responses of Snap Bean to Mixtures

of Carbon Dioxide and Ozone

SOURCE: Journal of Environmental Quality 31 no6 N/D 2002

WN: 0230502385028

The magazine publisher is the copyright holder of this article and it is reproduced with permission. Further reproduction of this article in violation of the copyright is prohibited. To contact the publisher: http://www.agronomy.org/.

Copyright 1982-2003 The H.W. Wilson Company. All rights reserved.